

CENTRE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 - June 2019)

Name of the Alumnus:	P. KALA	ISELVAN	
Degree: ME. ENVIRONA 1. The course objectives were clear a	NENTAL and reflected in	NANAGEM the syllabus.	Ph.D (2002-07)
Ostrongly Agree OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	Ostrong., 2 and
2. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications			
of changes etc) OStrongly Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
3 The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as			
onmodem/advancedtopics. Ostrongly Agree OAgree		ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
4. Was there a balance between the OStrongly Agree OAgree	Neutrai	ODIsagree	OStronglyDisagree
to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning			
OStrongly Agree Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
6. The overall environment in the Ostrongly Agree OAgree	class was cond ONeutral	lucive to learning. ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
7. Are the prescribed books relevant Ostrongly Agree OAgree	ant? ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
8. Were the Labs better equipped OStrongly Agree	ONcultar	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
e contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?			
Ostrongly Agree OAgree	ONeutrai	0 2 11 10	
conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?			
10. Were the assessments conduction of the Agree OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	

Signature of the Alumnus