

1.

2.

3.

4.

CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

			uly 2018 – Ju								
	Name of the Parent:	DGINAN	YZEFY KIN .	J							
	Degree: B. com										
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.										
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
	The course was well of changes etc)	organized (e.g. teaching ho	ours, content flow	v, access to materials, notifications						
	A STANDARD AND A STANDARD A STANDARD AND A STANDARD A STANDARD A STANDARD A STANDARD AND A STANDARD A STANDARD A STANDARD A STANDARD A STANDA	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.											
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
3.	Was there a balance OStrongly Agree		ory and practic	al? ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
4.	Is the course well-s resources,tutorials,	tructured to a	achieve the lear	ning outcomes (Usage of learning						
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
5	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.										
٥.	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
6	Are the prescribed	Are the prescribed books relevant? OStrongly Agree OAgree ONeutral ODisagree OStronglyDisagree									
	OStrongly Agree	⊘ Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
7	Were the Labs better equipped?										
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
8	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?										
-	OStrongly Agree	-/	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						
9	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?										
1	OStrongly Agree	-/	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree						

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARIMA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025

Signature of the Parent

CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

		(3	mly 2015 - J	une zurzi				
	Name of the Parent	Rajaveli	4 · T					
	Degree: M.E	Hydroloc	14 and w	ater resour	as Engineering.			
The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.								
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
1.	The course was well of changes etc)	l organized (e.g. teaching ho	ours, content flow	, access to materials, notif			
	OStrongly Agree	ØAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
2.	. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	6 Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
3.	Was there a balance between theory and practical? OStrongly Agree OAgree ONeutral ODisagree OStronglyDisagree							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree				
4.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicalete)?							
	OStrongly Agree	O'Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
5	The overall environ	ment in the c	lass was condu	cive to learning				
20	OStrongly Agree	Ø _{Agree}	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
6.	Are the prescribed b OStrongly Agree	Oooks relevar	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
7.	Were the Labs bette OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	&Disagree	OStronglyDisagree			
0	Did the course contr	ribute to skil	l enhancement	and better caree	r opportunities?			
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODISagree				
	and conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?							
9.	OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			



Shot on OnePlus By shaminayyas

Signature of the Parent



CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

	77	July ZUIO -	June 2019)	
Name of the Parent	S. VEN	NILA		
Degree: BA				
The course objective	es were clear	and reflected in		
OStrongly Agree	8 Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	
The course was well of changes etc)	ll organized (e.g. teaching h	ours, content flo	w, access to materials,r
OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
The syllabus was no onmodem/advanced		mphasis was on	fundamentals a	s well as
OStrongly Agree	9Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
Was there a balance				
OStrongly Agree	OAgree	O'Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
Is the course well-s resources, tutorials,			ming outcomes (Usage of learning
			ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
The overall environ	ment in the	class was condu	neive to learning	
OStrongly Agree	O'Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
Are the prescribed l	books releva	nt?		
OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
Were the Labs bette	A TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		Oni	00-10-
OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
Did the course cont	ribute to skil	l enhancement		
OStrongly Agree	ØA gree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
Were the assessmen	nts conducted	d on time with ;	proper coverage	of syllabus?
				OStronglyDisagree



A. Denda Signature of the Parent