

CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

	Name of the Parent: 2	Belvi.					
	Degree: M.E. CIWRM)						
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.						
	Ostrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree		
۱.	The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)						
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree		
2.	The syllabus was nee topics.	ed based. Em	phasis was on fu	undamentals as v	well as on modern /advanced		
•	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree		
3.	Was there a balance of OStrongly Agree	_ /					
			ONeutral	ODisagree	Ostrongly Disagree		
1.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practical etc)?						
	Ostrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree		
5.	The overall environn		ass was conduci	ve to learning.	ż		
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
5.	Are the prescribed be		?				
	Ostrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
7.	Were the Labs better						
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	O Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
8.	Did the course contri			_	5.5		
	OStrongly Agree	O'Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	Ostrongly Disagree		
9.	Were the assessment		_	-	•		
	OStrongly Agree 2	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	Ostrongly Disagree		
				/	Cosin .		
					Signature of the Par		

Signature of the Paren

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ANIMA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025



CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

		2 4						
	Name of the Parent: G. Asumugam							
	Degree: M.E (IWKM)							
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree			
1.	The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)							
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree			
2.	The syllabus was ne topics.	ed based. Em	phasis was on f	undamentals as	well as on modern /advanced			
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree			
3.	Was there a balance between theory and practical?							
	Ostrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree			
4.	Is the course well-st tutorials, practical e	tructured to act; tc)?	chieve the learn	ing outcomes (U	sage of learning resources,			
•	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree			
5.	The overall environ	ment in the c	lass was conduc	ive to learning.				
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
6.	Are the prescribed l	books relevan	t?					
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
7.	Were the Labs bette	er equipped?	1					
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
8.	Did the course cont	ribute to skill	enhancement as	nd better career	opportunities?			
	OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree			
9.	Were the assessmen	nts conducted	on time with pr	oper coverage o	f syllabus?			
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree			
				/	American			
			(,	Ser	Signature of the Parent			
			Hor	CCIVIL	_)			
			PROFE	ESSOR AND HEA NT OF CIVIL ENGINE	yo Eering			
			DELAUTHE	THE COLUMN ALL	con 1005			

ARINA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025

Scanned by CamScanner



CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2016 - June 2017)

	Name of the Parent: P.THANAKAMAN							
	Degree: ME(IWRM)							
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.							
	OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
1.	The course was we of changes etc)	ll organized (e.g. teaching h	ours. content flow	w, access to materials, notifications			
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modem/advancedtopics.							
•	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
3.	Was there a balanc Ostrongly Agree			cal? ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
4.	Is the course well-s resources, tutorials,	practicaletc)						
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
5.	The overall environ	nment in the c	lass was condu	cive to learning.				
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
6.	Are the prescribed	books relevar	nt?					
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutrai	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
7.	Were the Labs bette	er equipped?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
8.	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?							
	OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
9.	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?							
	OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
				·	$\Omega \sim 1$			

Signature of the Parent

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
ARMA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAL - 600 025