

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK 2017-18

Sl. No	Indicators	Strongly Agree (%)	Agree (%)	Neither disagree nor agree (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly disagree (%)
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/				
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			/		
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		~			
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					~
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				~	
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		~			
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	1		/		

Signature Pr

Name Puviyarasan. B

Professor & Head
Department of Applied Science and Technology
Anna University, Chennai - 600 025.



STUDENTS' FEEDBACK 2017-18

Sl. No	Indicators	Strongly Agree (%)	Agree (%)	Neither disagree nor agree (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly disagree (%)
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/				
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			/		
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		/			
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					/
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					1

Signature Rukeh.
Name Raakesh R

Professor & Head
Department of Applied Science and Technology Anna University, Chennai - 600 025.



STUDENTS' FEEDBACK 2017-18

Sl. No	Indicators	Strongly Agree (%)	Agree (%)	Neither disagree nor agree (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly disagree (%)
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				7	
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		N			
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					7
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	7				
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			7		
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		7			
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				7	
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					7

Signature Rayul. G.

Professor & Head
Department of Applied Science and Technology Anna University, Chennai - 600 025.