NAME: NIRANJANI · L

SIGNATURE: *[signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

[signature]

HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN

Professor and Head

Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: Raynier Raja S

SIGNATURE: *[signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: M. CHITRAMONISHA

SIGNATURE: Chitramonisha

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: GURUPRASAD. G
SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: P. Jogeshwaran
SIGNATURE: P.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: Ramakrishnan
SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or not disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based, Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or not disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: HIMALINI. U

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: SYLVESTER FRANCIS

SIGNATURE: R. Syl.f

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: KARTHEKEYAN EB
SIGNATURE: E·Bh

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: MEENA·N

SIGNATURE: Nmeya

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: POUVARASI. N

SIGNATURE: Pouuyd

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based: Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

SIGNATURE: S.Raj

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: RESHMA GRAM CHANDRE 1

SIGNATURE: *[signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: SUMITHRA·S

SIGNATURE: Sumitra

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: V.THULASI

SIGNATURE: Thulv

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based: Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: DHARINI
SIGNATURE: Dh.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓	✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: Abhijith.
SIGNATURE: *[signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: Ranjani

SIGNATURE: Ranjani

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			