NAME: RAMYA . R

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: S. KALYANI

SIGNATURE: S. kalyani

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

HOD
Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai -600

NAME: S.SEVI

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

HOD
Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: M. KRISHNAMARI

SIGNATURE: M. Kishmi.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: J. Mahima Atul Dharshini

SIGNATURE: *(signature)*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: M. Karunya

SIGNATURE: M. Karunya

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓	✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: Saravanan. M

SIGNATURE: M.Saravanan.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: MANJU BAGAVATHY J

SIGNATURE: *llaf*..

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: A. JANA SHREE

SIGNATURE: A. Jana Shree

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: THAMEENA FARHATH

SIGNATURE: Th

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: Saranya.M.
SIGNATURE: M.Saranya

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

| SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: S. Sreeenivasan

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: A.S.RANKUMAR

SIGNATURE: AsRat

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: RAJARAJESWARI. R

SIGNATURE: R Rajitti

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✗		✓	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✗			✓	

NAME: Muthamilselvan N

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: J. Infant Cyrilla
SIGNATURE: J. Life cyal.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

| SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: VINITHA JAINI.X

SIGNATURE: X.Vinitha Jain

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: ANILIN JOSHIHA V

SIGNATURE: V. Anin Jol

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: MURALIKRISHNAN.H

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: DENO RASHMA.D.V.

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: Balachandar.R.

SIGNATURE: R.Bala.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				✓	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			