

NAME: ABHINAYA.V  
 SIGNATURE: V. Abhinaya.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

  
 HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN  
 Professor and Head  
 Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering  
 Anna University, Chennai-600 025

NAME: Abinaya R  
SIGNATURE: *Abinaya R*


DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: Adhithyan. R.P.  
 SIGNATURE: Adhithyan.R.P.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					✓
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					✓
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

NAME: AHAMMED BASITH M  
 SIGNATURE: M. 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓		✓		

NAME: S. Alessandro  
 SIGNATURE: S. P. Vikram

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			



NAME: *Anita Sharine RS*  
 SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: V. ARCHANA  
SIGNATURE: V. Archana

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				✓	

NAME: Ashritha Vijaya Chandan  
 SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			/		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			/		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			/		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			/		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			/		



NAME: K. Deviga  
 SIGNATURE: *[Handwritten Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓	✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓	✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: A. HARIHARAN  
SIGNATURE: *A. Hariharan*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: M. HARINI  
 SIGNATURE: M. J. J.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: *Tian*  
 SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓	✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: JANANI  
SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		



NAME: I. KABILESWARAN  
 SIGNATURE: I. 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: Kamal. E  
SIGNATURE: S. Sankar

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: K. Karthick.

SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					✓
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					✓
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: Karthikraja - A  
 SIGNATURE: *[Handwritten Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: H. Kausik  
 SIGNATURE: [Signature]

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		



NAME: K Kaviyaranan

SIGNATURE: *[Handwritten Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: S. KISHORE KUMAR  
SIGNATURE: S.K.K.F

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: P.C. madhumathi  
 SIGNATURE: *P.C. madhumathi*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				✓	
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: P. S. Mani  
 SIGNATURE: P. S. Mani

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				✓	
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					✓
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: *Manjamma*  
SIGNATURE: *Manjamma*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		



NAME: Megala.C  
SIGNATURE: C. H. H. H.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: M. MUKESH

SIGNATURE: *M. Mukesh*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: R. Nandhini  
SIGNATURE: R. Nandhini

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: Naveen Babu G  
 SIGNATURE: *[Handwritten Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		


NAME: OVIYA K  
SIGNATURE: *[Handwritten Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				✓
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			



NAME: S. M. Poornima

SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: PRABHU.A  
 SIGNATURE: *P. Prabhuraj*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: Radhika  
SIGNATURE: Radhika

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			/		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		/			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	/				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		/			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	/				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		/			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	/				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	/				

NAME: RAGAVENDHARAN

SIGNATURE: *[Handwritten Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	2	29	3	1	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	4	26	1	3	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: M. PAMYA  
SIGNATURE: *M. Pamy*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			



NAME: R. Rabini  
SIGNATURE: R. Pot

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: P. RUTHIE SHARASAMATHI, -B  
 SIGNATURE: *[Handwritten Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Learning materials (Lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

NAME: Sai Anindh

SIGNATURE: 2016109038


DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			Job based training could be provided		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					✓
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: *Salman Faries-B*  
 SIGNATURE: *Salman*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: SANGEERTH P  
SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					✓
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					✓
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓



NAME: S-Santhosh Kumar  
 SIGNATURE: S-segar

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: Shivani R.B  
SIGNATURE: R.B. sun

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			


NAME: S SHIVAMALA

SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

P

NAME: SHOBAN-V P  
 SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: N SHRIKANTH  
SIGNATURE: N Shrikant

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		



NAME: Singhu Kavis  
SIGNATURE: *Sindhu*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			/		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			/		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	/				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	/				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			/		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	/				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		/			

NAME: S. Sushitha Manoj  
 SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			

NAME: R. Suresh  
 SIGNATURE: R. Suresh

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: *Suretha. A*

SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				✓	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

NAME: *K. Swetha*  
 SIGNATURE: *Swetha*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			



NAME: Aslam  
SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

NAME: *Tamizharasan R*  
 SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓	✓	
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: Vigneshwaran P  
 SIGNATURE: [Signature]

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				✓	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓		✓	

NAME: Vinitha ✓  
 SIGNATURE: Jha ✓

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		


NAME: *Amala Varshini*  
 SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					



NAME: A. MOHAMED ABRAR ✓

SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				✓	

NAME: M. Mowrya Naama  
SIGNATURE: Mowrya

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			✗
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			✓		

NAME: *Faisal Alharayez*

SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING  
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY  
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI  
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	<i>/</i>				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				<i>/</i>	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			<i>/</i>		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			<i>/</i>		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		<i>/</i>			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		<i>/</i>			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<i>/</i>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			<i>/</i>		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		<i>/</i>			