NAME: ARUN. M SIGNATURE: Arun

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			<u> </u>		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		<b>/</b>			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			/		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				<u></u>	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: PAL ANTA PAN SIGNATURE: Polenturpur

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	-				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN Professor and Head

Dapt. of Electrical and Electronics Engine ling
Anna Holversity, Chennal - 600

NAME: AMA PUSANI SIGNATURE: AMARINGINE

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		1		,	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		1			<u> </u>
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				1	-
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					



NAME: KIRUTHIKA

SIGNATURE: Kontun

# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor	Disagree	Strongly disagree
		·		disagree		
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	-		/		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		<b>/</b>			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	*		<b>/</b>		-
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			<b>/</b>		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	/			(	

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head

Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine-ling Agen University, Cheneval - 600

NAME: POOMA SOVERA
SIGNATURE: Pour

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			/		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	<b>/</b>				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		<u></u>			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					,
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			/		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<u> </u>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	<u></u>				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN

Professor and Head Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine ing Anna University, Chennal - 600

NAME: ACAVINIA SIGNATURE: A LO

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY

### ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly	Agree	Neither agree	Disagras	Ctronoly
		Agree	115.00	or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/		disagice		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			1		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					\$
						HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN

Professor and Head

Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine ing

NAME: WITHILA. & SIGNATURE:

# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly	Agree	Neither agree	Disagree	Strongly
		Agree		or nor		disagree
		· .		disagree		
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	1				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		1			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		1			
4,	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		1			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			/		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	1				1

HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN

Professor and Head

Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine ing

Anna University, Chennal - 600

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		/			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		1			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN

Professor and Head Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine-ing Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: SRIMATHI SIGNATURE: Szimata

## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		<b>/</b>			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			<b>✓</b>		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	<b></b>				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				/	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN

Professor and Head

Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine Jing

Anna University, Chennali- 600

NAME: PREETH !

I					
Indicators	Strongly	Agree	Neither agree	Disagree	Strongly
	Agree		or nor		disagree
-	1		disagree		
			*1	•	
		/	(*)		
			_	-	
	• 1				
		'			
the state of the s					
The books prescribed/listed					
		/			
• • • •					
•					
				<b>V</b>	
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover  The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)  The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application  Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)  Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.  The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.  The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped  Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable  Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover  The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)  The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application  Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)  Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.  The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.  The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped  Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable  Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover  The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)  The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application  Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)  Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.  The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.  The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped  Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable  Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover  The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)  The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application  Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)  Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.  The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.  The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped  Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable  Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover  The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)  The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application  Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)  Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.  The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.  The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped  Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better carreer opportunities etc) were achievable  Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units

NAME: Frun, N

### SIGNATURE: DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING CHINDY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI

STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/		- 6.3		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		1			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		1			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	1				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		/			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: GOKILA SIGNATURE: Gentle

SN	Indicators	0. 1		1		·
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	/				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			<u> </u>		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		/			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			/		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	<u></u>				

NAME: Suchagas
SIGNATURE: William

Chi	1			j		
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	/				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		/			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<b>/</b>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	<u> </u>				

NAME: RATHNAKALA
SIGNATURE:

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			/		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		/			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		ţ.	<b>/</b>		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			<b>/</b>		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				/	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	<u></u>				

NAME: Arul Muvugan Ature: Ama

SIGNATURE:

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI

STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		1			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	1				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		1			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		1			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	1				

NAME: BRONTHA. R SIGNATURE: Brown

SN	Indicators Strongly Agency VIII						
511	Indicators	Strongly	Agree	Neither agree	Disagree	Strongly	
		Agree		or nor		disagree	
<del> </del>	77	•		disagree		a.sugice	
1.	The course objectives were						
	clear and reflected in a						
	syllabus, topics intend to cover						
2.	The Course was well						
2.	organized (e.g. teaching						
	hours, content flow, access						
	to materials, notification of						
	changes, etc.)						
3.	The syllabus was need						
	based; Emphasis on						
	fundamentals, coverage of						
	modern/advanced topics.						
	good balance between theory						
	and application						
4.	Course was well structured						
	to achieve the learning			,			
	outcomes (good balance of						
	lectures, learning resources,			*			
	tutorials, practical etc.)						
5.	Learning materials (lesson						
	plans, course notes, reading						
	materials etc.) were relevant and useful.						
6.	The overall environment in						
0.	the class was conducive to						
	learning.						
7.	The books prescribed/listed						
1.5	as reference materials are		k				
	relevant, updated and						
	appropriate. Labs are better						
	equipped						
8.	Expectations about the						
	course (Enhance by						
*	skill/knowledge base, better	,					
	career opportunities etc)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
_	were achievable						
9.	Tests and examinations were						
	conducted well in time with						
	proper coverage of all units in the syllabus						
	in the synabus						