


NAME: ARUN. M
SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				


HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai-600 025

NAME: PALANIYANDAN
SIGNATURE: *Palaniyandan*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	/				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	/				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			/		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			/		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		/			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			/		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	/				

Dr. S Chandramohan
HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University Chennai - 600 025


NAME: *Anna Perani*
 SIGNATURE: *Anna Perani*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		↗			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	↗				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		↗			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		↗			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		↗			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	↗				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		↗			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				↗	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		↗			

SP
HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
 Professor and Head
 Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
 Anna University, Chennai-600 025

NAME: KIRUTHIKA
SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

HOD
Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600


NAME: POOMA SOVENA
SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			✓		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	✓				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		✓			


HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: Aravindh
SIGNATURE: 


**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19**

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	✓				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		✓			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				











HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600 095

NAME: NITHILA .

SIGNATURE: 

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19**

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					


HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN


Professor and Head

Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: Rajkumar

SIGNATURE: 

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19**

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	/				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		/			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		/			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		/			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		/			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	/				

HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai - 600


NAME: SRIMATHI
SIGNATURE: *Srimathi*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	✓				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				<i>[Signature]</i>

HOD

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN
Professor and Head
Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Anna University, Chennai-600

NAME: PREETHI
SIGNATURE: 


DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			/		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			/		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		/			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			/		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		/			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	/				

NAME: *Arun. N*
SIGNATURE: *[Signature]*

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19**

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		/			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	/				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		/			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		/			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	/				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		/			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			/		

NAME: GOKILA
SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

NAME: *Suchagat*
 SIGNATURE: *Suchagat*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
 ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
 STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19


SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: RATHNAKALA
SIGNATURE: *Rathnakala*

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			✓		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			✓		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	✓				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: Arul Munigan

SIGNATURE: 

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		✓			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	✓				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	✓				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		✓			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		✓			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		✓			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		✓			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	✓				

NAME: BRUNTHA.R
SIGNATURE: Bruntha

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	/				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		/			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			/		
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			/		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		/			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			/		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			/		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	/				