

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK( )

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					✓
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					✓
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

Note: Please **tick** the necessary column.



P. S.H.  
 P. Sahana Devi  
 MATERIALS SCIENCE  
 2016243021

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK( )

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				✓	
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					✓
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				✓	
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					✓
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

Note: Please **tick** the necessary column.

E. Amotho  
08/03/2019

(E. AMOTHO)

Reg No: 2016243003

M.Sc., Materials science.



Handwritten marks on the left side of the page, including a large '5' and some scribbles.

STUDENTS' FEEDBACK( )

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					✓
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					✓
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					✓
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

Note: Please **tick** the necessary column.



*N. V. S. R.*

2016243034

M.Sc Materials Science