



CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

Name of the Faculty with designation: E. ARUN BABU, ASST. PROF

Degree: M.E IWRM R2015

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern/advanced topics.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicals etc)?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

E. Arun Babu  
Signature of the Faculty



CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 026

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

Name of the Faculty with designation: Sourya Venkatesh

Degree: M. E. EWRM

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes etc)

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern/advanced topics.

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practical etc)?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

Signature of the Faculty

PROFESSOR & DIRECTOR  
CENTRE FOR WATER RESOURCES  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI-25



**CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES**  
**COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025**

**Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum 2015 (ME IWRM)**

Name of the Faculty with designation: *CAROLIN ARUL, ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR*

Degree: *M.E. Integrated Water Resources Management*

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern/advanced topics.  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicals etc)?  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
6. Are the prescribed books relevant?  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
7. Were the Labs better equipped?  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree
9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?  
 Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

*Carolin Arul*  
Signature of the Faculty

*Mudgal*  
PROFESSOR & DIRECTOR  
CENTRE FOR WATER RESOURCES  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI-25